Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/814,853	LI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Habte Mered	2616
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Habte Mered</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark V. Muller.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 November 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
*		
KWANG BIN YAQ		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER		1.19
(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: This was a courtesy call to indicate to the Applicant's Attorney that the OFFice has mailed a PTO-9C form in relation to the Non-Final Office Action mailed on 11/15/2007. Mr. Muller indicated that he has received the Non-Final Office Action mailed on 11/15/2007 and will wait until he receives the Office communication containing US PTO-9C before issuing any response.